UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,666	12/01/2003	Chang-Ho Suh	678-1310	2412
66547 7590 05/29/2008 THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C. 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			MALEK, LEILA	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,666	SUH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LEILA MALEK	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/a	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the an Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/724,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendments received on 03/11/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for lack of support in the specification. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As to claims 5 and 7, Applicants in invention's disclosure fail to disclose that the <u>first short preamble</u> sequence is generated for a <u>next OFDM</u> symbol period <u>after passage of one OFDM symbol period</u>.

Claims 6 and 8 depend on claims 5 and 7, respectively, therefore they are rejected as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,666

Art Unit: 2611

3. Claims 1-4 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mody et al. (hereafter, referred as Mody) (US 2003/0072452).

Page 3

As to claims 1 and 11, Mody discloses a method/apparatus for generating a preamble sequence (see paragraphs 0012 and 0013) in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (0FDM) communication system (see e.g. paragraph 0067), the method comprising: generating a first short preamble sequence (see paragraph 0066) with elements corresponding to the plurality of subcarriers, wherein data other than null data is inserted for elements associated with a subcarrier identified by a unique number that is an even number (see paragraph 0081); generating a second short preamble sequence with elements corresponding to the plurality of subcarriers, wherein data other than null data is inserted for elements associated with a subcarrier identified by a unique number that is an odd number (see paragraph 0083); and generating a preamble sequence in a time domain by transforming one of the first and second short preamble sequences according to a transmission rule by using an inverse Discrete Fourier transform (see Fig. 3, block 52). Mody discloses all the subject matters claimed in claims 1 and 11, except that the transformation has been performed by using an IFFT block. However, since it is extremely well known in the art that IFFT is just a fast IDFT, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Mody and use an IFFT instead of the IDFT to reduce the amount of calculations and perform a fast transformation.

As to claim 2, Mody discloses that a peak- to-average power ratio (PAPR) is decreased through at least two antennas in the OFDM communication system (see paragraphs 0012, 0061, 0081, and 0083).

As to claim 3, Mody discloses that the first-short preamble sequence is adapted to be transmitted via one of the at least two antennas (see paragraph 0081).

As to claim 4, Mody discloses that the second short preamble sequence is adapted to be transmitted via one of the at least two antennas (see paragraph 0083).

As to claims 9 and 12, although the preamble sequence disclosed by Mody (see paragraph 0084) is slightly different than the one disclosed by the Applicants as cited in claims 9 and 12, however, it would have been a matter of design choice to choose a different preamble sequence in order to meet the system requirements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use any preamble sequence (for instance the one used by the Applicants) to meet the system requirements.

As to claims 10 and 13, although the preamble sequence disclosed by Mody (see paragraph 0082) is slightly different than the one disclosed by the Applicants as cited in claims 10 and 13, it would have been a matter of design choice to choose a different preamble sequence in order to meet the system requirements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use any preamble sequence (for instance the one used by the Applicants) to meet the system requirements.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEILA MALEK whose telephone number is (571)272-8731. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leila Malek Examiner Art Unit 2611

/L. M./ /Leila Malek/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611 Application/Control Number: 10/724,666 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

/Mohammad H Ghayour/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611